

NATIONAL HOUSING RESEARCH PROGRAM
FUNDING ROUND 2023

**Ethical principles and guidelines
for Indigenous research**

Contents

Purpose	1
Research priorities	1
Research principles	2
Research protocols.....	3
Project funding application process	4
Development of proposals.....	4
Selection criteria	5
Ethics approval.....	5
Dissemination processes and protocols.....	6
Bibliography.....	7
Further reading	8

The AHURI Ethical principles and guidelines for Indigenous research were originally compiled by Roz Walker, Curtin Indigenous Research Centre, Curtin University at the request of AHURI Limited, supported by funding through the Commonwealth of Australia and the Australian states and territories.

Purpose

The aim of this document is to assist researchers to recognise and take the rights and interests of Indigenous Australians into account in the development of proposals and conduct of research; to outline the main ethical issues and principles involved, and to help researchers access the literature on the conduct of research with Indigenous people.

This document is a starting point for ongoing discussions among the research community, Indigenous stakeholders and AHURI. It is hoped that it will continue to evolve over time, as appropriate, as further relevant protocols and Indigenous research approaches are articulated.

Research priorities

AHURI is committed to supporting research endeavours that address the strategic priorities in Indigenous housing as identified in the AHURI National Housing Research Program's annual Research Agenda.

Indigenous housing research is a strategic priority. AHURI maintains the principle that all funded research should include an Indigenous focus. Funding applications that do not include an Indigenous focus must provide a compelling justification for not including an Indigenous focus.

Research principles

In research focussed upon Indigenous housing issues, AHURI embraces the implementation of Recommendation 51, Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody:

That research funding bodies reviewing proposals for further research on programs and policies affecting Aboriginal people adopt as principal criteria for the funding of those programs:

- The extent to which the problem or process being investigated has been defined by Aboriginal people of the relevant community or group.
- The extent to which Aboriginal people from the relevant community or group have substantial control over the conduct of the research.
- The requirement that Aboriginal people from the relevant community or group receive the results of the research delivered in a form which can be understood by them¹.
- The requirement that the research include the formulation of proposals for further action by the Aboriginal community and local Aboriginal organisations.' (Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, 167).

AHURI also endorses an additional principle:

- That the research should support education and training to increase the capacity of Indigenous researchers, communities and organisations.

The principles, processes and protocols adopted by AHURI are not intended to create a barrier to research. Implementation of the principles can be handled efficiently.

The principles aim to achieve a more inclusive and just distribution of the direct and indirect benefits of research for Indigenous people than has historically been the case, in a manner that is acceptable to Indigenous people and that will minimise harm, burden or misuse for individuals and groups. It is important that, to the best of the researcher's ability, the community or group benefit from the research activity.

¹ AHURI recommends that an effort is made to communicate with the relevant community or group regarding research outcomes before publishing, to take into account cultural expectations and mores, as appropriate.

Research protocols

There are now several valuable statements on principles for the conduct of research involving Indigenous people.

- A particularly good example of these is [Guidelines for ethical research in Australian Indigenous studies](#), by the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (AIATSIS), and the associated [Guide to applying the AIATSIS code of ethics](#).
- The [AIATSIS general advice for researchers during COVID-19](#).
- The AHURI funded Positioning Paper, [Investigating appropriate evaluation methods and indicators for Indigenous housing programs](#) by Walker, Ballard and Taylor provides a comprehensive discussion of principles and methodologies for conducting research and evaluation in Indigenous housing.
- The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) has developed [Guidelines for Ethical Conduct in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Research](#).

Researchers will also find these additional guidelines valuable for the ethics approval process:

- The Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE) [Code of ethics](#).
- NHMRC's [Keeping research on track II: a guide for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples about health research ethics](#).
- NHMRC's [Guidelines under Section 95 of the Privacy Act 1988](#) (Section 2 Procedures to be followed by researchers).
- NHMRC's [National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research](#).

Project funding application process

Development of proposals

The following questions are important for applicants to consider:

- Does the project have relevant/appropriate Indigenous community support given the nature of the research?
- Have the most relevant people been consulted?
 - Have face-to-face discussions been the major form of consultations between the researchers and the Indigenous communities?
- What is the purpose and scope of the research?
 - How is it going to benefit Indigenous groups, organisations and/or communities?
- What is the experience of the researchers (project leader/or the research team) in working in Indigenous contexts?
- If new or emerging researchers are involved, what training and support processes are in place?
- Is the timeframe adequate and realistic to allow for genuine consultation with, or involvement, of the community? Additionally, in the case of remote area research, have the distance and seasons, been taken into account?
- What feedback mechanisms are in place to ensure the Indigenous community receive the results in an understandable form?

AHURI and representatives of Indigenous housing jurisdictions recognise that there may be tensions in meeting local community needs as well as national level priorities, despite attempts to reflect and incorporate local, regional, and state Indigenous housing needs and issues into the national research agenda setting process. Therefore, it is important for research teams to undertake extensive consultation with relevant Indigenous groups in developing proposals to identify how and where community groups needs are most likely to link with government policy and national Indigenous housing priorities.

Indigenous newspapers, bulletins and journals are an important supplement to traditional literature sources to gain an understanding of important and contemporary housing issues from Indigenous perspectives.

Selection criteria

Applications that include a focus on Indigenous people will be assessed using the standard AHURI assessment criteria, as outlined in the AHURI National Housing Research Program Handbook.

It is important to note, however, that the Research Approach and Research Team criteria have particular interpretations in the context of research that includes a focus on Indigenous people.

In relation to Research Approach, adherence to the guidelines, as specified in [Guidelines for Ethical Research in Indigenous Studies](#) (AIATSIS) will be particularly important.

The *Research Team* will be particularly important. It will be expected that the people in these roles will demonstrate:

- Experience in working (or undertaking research) with Indigenous people.
- Understanding of ethical issues in Indigenous research and a commitment to conduct research in accordance with principles and protocols.
- Experience in working as part of a multi-disciplinary research team.
- Scholarship and publications.

Where the applicant has no or little experience in Indigenous research—that is a new researcher—or the majority of the team are new researchers, the level of support you will establish to undertake the research effectively needs to be described.

Also, in relation to the Research Team, the research should, as much as possible, support education and training to increase the capacity of, and economic participation by, Indigenous researchers, communities and organisations.

Ethics approval

Applications recommended for funding are required to obtain research ethics approval from their university Human Research Ethics Committee immediately upon advice of the success of their application. Approval should be sought from the university at which the project leader(s) is/are based.

Where ethics approval is conditional upon changes to the project, these changes must be finally agreed by the relevant ethics committee and then presented to the AHURI Head of Research Program for final consideration.

Once ethics approval has been obtained, the applicants must provide evidence of certification to the AHURI Head of Research Program.

Dissemination processes and protocols

AHURI gives high priority to effective dissemination of research findings and works closely with research teams to ensure that findings are of value to policy makers and practitioners. With respect to research with Indigenous people AHURI is committed to ensure that:

... the [Indigenous people] from the relevant community or group receive the results of the research delivered in a form which can be understood by them. (RCIADIC)

This may require the use of alternative reporting formats including poster presentations, focus groups, meetings with individuals or small groups or community forums. Publishing research findings in Indigenous newspapers, newsletters or Indigenous online networks will ensure a broader coverage within the Indigenous academic and community arena.

Existing AHURI outputs identified in the AHURI National Housing Research Program Handbook may need to be renegotiated to ensure a more appropriate dissemination strategy to meet both Indigenous community and national policy requirements in a timely, efficient and effective manner.

Projects that work with Indigenous peoples should include dissemination costs in their budgets to the extent required to enable the Indigenous people from the relevant community or group to receive the results of the research in a form that can be understood by them.

Several of the existing protocols highlight the importance of providing feedback in an appropriate manner to relevant Indigenous groups, communities and organisations at all stages of the research. These dissemination requirements may have implications for the dissemination processes (and budget) identified in the project proposal. Costings appropriate to the scope and nature of the research need to allow for this.

Bibliography

Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE), Code of ethics,

<https://www.aare.edu.au/research-and-advocacy/research-ethics/>

Australian Bureau of Statistics (2014) 1200.0.55.008 – Indigenous Status Standard, 2014, Version 1.5,

<http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Latestproducts/1200.0.55.008Main%20Features32014,%20Version%201.5?opendocument&tabname=Summary&prodno=1200.0.55.008&issue=2014,%20Version%201.5&num=&view>

Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (AIATSIS) (2012) Guidelines for ethical research in Indigenous studies, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait

Islander Studies, <http://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/default/files/docs/research-and-guides/ethics/gerais.pdf>

National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (2014) Guidelines under Section 95 of the

Privacy Act 1988, <https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/guidelines-under-section-95-privacy-act-1988>

National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (2018) Values and Ethics – Guidelines for Ethical Conduct in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Research

<https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/research-policy/ethics/ethical-guidelines-research-aboriginal-and-torres-strait-islander-peoples>

National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (2006) Keeping research on track II: a guide for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples about health research ethics,

<https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/resources/keeping-research-track-ii>

National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (2007) (updated 2018) National statement on ethical conduct in human research, <http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines-publications/e72>

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, Recommendations see: National report Volume 5 Indigenous law resources. Recommendation 51 (2:63)

<http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/IndigLRes/rciadic/>

Walker, R., Ballard, J. and Taylor, C. (2002) Investigating appropriate evaluation methods and indicators for Indigenous housing programs, AHURI Positioning Paper No. 24. Australian Housing

and Urban Research Institute Limited, Melbourne. <https://www.ahuri.edu.au/research/final-reports/29>

Further reading

- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Services (2000) Agreement on national Indigenous housing information, AIHW, Canberra. <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/housing-assistance/agreement-national-indigenous-housing-information/contents/table-of-contents>
- Bourke, E., Hollinsworth, D., Holt, L., Jull, P., and Sutherland, J. (1994) Improving relationships: better relationships between Indigenous Australians and the wider community, Council for Aboriginal Reconciliation. Key Issue Paper Series.
- Dudgeon, P., Garvey, D. and Pickett, H. (2000) Working with Indigenous Australians: a handbook for psychologists, Gunada Press, Perth.
- Howitt, R., Crough, G. and Pritchard, B. (1990) Participation, power and social research in Central Australia, Australia Aboriginal Studies.
- Read, P. (2000) Settlement, Aboriginal Studies Press, Canberra.
- Scougall, J. (1997) Giving voice: the conduct of evaluation research in Aboriginal contexts, Evaluation Journal of Australasia, Vol. 9 Nos 1 & 2, pp.53–60.
- Scougall, J. and Osborne, R. (1998) Dogs, kids & Homeswest: the story of the Tkalka Boorda Aboriginal Community, Curtin Indigenous Research Centre (CIRC), Perth.
- Smith, L. T. (2012) Decolonising methodologies: research and Indigenous peoples, 2nd edn., University of Otago Press, Dunedin.