WEDNESDAY 18 MAY 2002 | 11:00am – 12:30pm (AEST) | #AHURIwebinar

AHURI Cities WEBINAR SERIES

Driving population growth in regional cities

Speaker Dr Laura Crommelin, UNSW Dr Akshay Vij, University of South Australia

Respondent Diwa Hopkins, Regional Australia Institute

Welcome

Dr Tom Alves AHURI (facilitator)



NATIONAL HOMELESSNESS CONFERENCE 2022 8-10 AUGUST

National Convention Centre Canberra

Jointly convened by





HOUSEKEEPING

Webinar recording available at:

https://www.ahuri.edu.au/events/driving-population-growth-regional-cities

Complete our survey: Will be shared by email following webinar



Audience Q&A

Submit questions for presenters



Chat function



Driving population growth in regional cities

Download the reports: <u>https://www.ahuri.edu.au/research/final-reports/375</u> <u>https://www.ahuri.edu.au/research/final-reports/377</u>

Speakers

Dr Laura Crommelin, UNSW

Dr Akshay Vij, University of South Australia

Respondent

Diwa Hopkins, Regional Australia Institute





Presentation

Driving population growth in regional cities

A/Prof Akshay Vij, University of South Australia Dr Laura Crommelin, UNSW Sydney



Part 1

Overview of research agenda



Research Agenda





Part 1

Understanding what attracts residents to smaller cities





Preferences for regional settlement in Australia

AHURI Webinar on "Driving population growth in regional cities" 18 May 2022

Akshay Vij

Associate Professor, University of South Australia

Ali Ardeshiri

Research Fellow, University of South Australia

Andrew Beer

Professor University of South Australia

This study was funded by the Australian Housing and Urban Research Initiative (AHURI) AUGUST 4 2021 - 5:00PM

ABS reports a record number of people are leaving Australia's capital cities



🖾 Demand for regional housing has increased since the pandemic struck. Photo: Sylvia Liber

A net 43,000 Australians moved to regional areas from capital cities in 2020. This was the largest net inflow to the regions since this data was first collected by the ABS in 2001.

Source:

<u>https://www.newcastleherald.com.au/story/7371335/people</u> -are-leaving-capital-cities-at-record-rates-but-where-arethey-going/



City center of Goulburn, NSW, Australia, seen at sunrise. Source: Getty Images

Nearly all newly arrived migrants settled in Melbourne and Sydney last year while regional Australia is struggling to survive with a shrinking population. The Australian government is offering migrants new permanent residency pathways provided that they stay in regional areas for four years. Mid-sized urban areas (populations less than 100,000) lost 23,091 more domestic migrants than they attracted over the period 2011-16

Source: <u>https://www.sbs.com.au/language/english/living-in-</u> regional-australia



Strong evidence. Deep insights. Collaborative approach.

MIGRATION BETWEEN CITIES AND REGIONS:

A quick guide to COVID-19 impacts.

"Our central projection scenario sees a net shift in migration away from capital cities in favour of regional areas in 2020-21, before gradually returning towards the long-run average.

"Underscoring the uncertainty surrounding this topic, surveyed experts were split on the impact of COVID-19. Approximately half expect it to have no impact on migration patterns between cities and regions, with the other half expecting a slight shift in favour of migration from capital cities to regional areas."

Source: <u>https://population.gov.au/docs/the-impacts-of-covid-on-migration-between-cities-and-regions.pdf</u>

Research objectives

- 1. Examine key drivers of migration flows and settlement patterns across Australia; and
- 2. Identify key barriers to and opportunities for greater population decentralisation.

Data

Nationwide online survey of 3,012 demographically and geographically representative Australians administered in February 2021:

- Residential and migration histories
- Attitudes towards and perceptions of regional and metropolitan cities
- Stated preference experiment eliciting preferences for regional settlement



Sample and ABS distributions across different gender and age groups

State or territory	Sample	ABS (2020)
Northern Territory	0.6%	1.0%
Australian Capital Territory	1.8%	1.7%
Tasmania	2.1%	2.1%
South Australia	7.6%	6.9%
Western Australia	10.1%	10.4%
Queensland	20.6%	20.1%
Victoria	24.6%	26.1%
New South Wales	32.6%	31.8%

Sample and ABS distributions across different Australian states and territories

Data

Nationwide online survey of 3,012 demographically and geographically representative Australians administered in February 2021:

- Residential and migration histories
- Attitudes towards and perceptions of regional and metropolitan cities
- Stated preference experiment eliciting preferences for regional settlement



Migration patterns as a function of current city of residence

		Currently living in a mid-sized city (16.6%)			Currently living in a large city (83.4%)						
Reason for move	sample mid-	Always lived in	Migrated from large to	Migrated from mid- sized cities to large cities and returned back to mid-sized cities		Moving between large and mid-sized cities	Always lived in a	Migrated from mid- sized	Migrated from large cities to mid-sized cities and returened to a large city		Moving between large and mid-cities
		mid-sized cities	cities for	Reason for move to large city	Reason for return to mid- sized city	(currently living in a mid-sized city)	large city	cities to large cities	Reason for move to mid- sized city	Reason for return to large city	and currently living in a large city
Employment and industry related issues	27.3%	22.4%	23.2%	31.3%	31.3%	38.0%	17.3%	29.1%	42.7%	29.3%	37.6%
Being close to family	21.2%	32.5%	23.2%	8.3%	18.8%	21.5%	31.1%	18.8%	16.0%	17.3%	14.0%
Place of birth	10.9%	8.4%	20.4%	10.4%	6.3%	6.3%	15.0%	21.8%	5.3%	22.7%	6.5%
Better quality of life	8.9%	10.3%	7.2%	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	11.2%	1.1%	4.0%	8.0%	4.8%
Education	8.0%	5.2%	8.3%	18.8%	10.4%	3.9%	6.7%	13.8%	9.3%	8.0%	5.4%
More affordable housing	5.1%	5.7%	7.2%	8.3%	2.1%	5.9%	3.2%	2.3%	5.3%	2.7%	2.7%
Attractive environment	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	2.1%	4.2%	2.9%	3.0%	1.5%	2.7%	2.7%	7.0%
Higher income	2.1%	1.5%	0.6%	2.1%	2.1%	0.0%	1.6%	1.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.7%
Better prospects to raise children(s)	1.6%	1.5%	0.6%	4.2%	2.1%	1.5%	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%
Better services (e.g. healthcare, banking, retail, etc.)	1.3%	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.7%	0.4%	2.7%	1.3%	2.7%
Health related reasons	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.8%	1.3%	0.0%	2.7%
Live in community with similar backgrounds	1.0%	1.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.6%
Greater sense of community and belonging	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Amenities and entertainment facilities	0.8%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%

Reasons for settlement in different cities, as a function of migration histories

Data

Nationwide online survey of 3,012 demographically and geographically representative Australians administered in February 2021:

- Residential and migration histories
- Attitudes towards and perceptions of regional and metropolitan cities
- Stated preference experiment eliciting preferences for regional settlement



Mid-sized city Large city

Proportion of respondents that used particular characteristics to describe mid-sized cities and large cities in general

Data

Nationwide online survey of 3,012 demographically and geographically representative Australians administered in February 2021:

- Residential and migration histories
- Attitudes towards and perceptions of regional and metropolitan cities
- Stated preference experiment eliciting preferences for regional settlement

Imagine that you could choose to relocate to either of the following two urban areas. Which would you prefer to relocate to? For reference, we show the same attributes for your current city of residence.

Hover your cursor over the 🕕 symbol for further information.

	City 1	City 2	Adelaide	
Distance from the coast	200 km	On the coast	11 km	
Population size	Population size 100,000		1,165,639	
Unemployment rate	6%	6%	8%	
Urban centre classification	Mixed function city	Mixed function city	Mixed function city	
Average home value	\$650,000	\$1,040,000	\$474,099	
Average annual income	\$85,000 per annum	\$60,000 per annum	\$73,118 per annum	
Average daily commute time (to and from work)	50 min	70 min	50 min	
Average monthly cost of living per person (excluding housing)	\$1,300 per month	\$1,400 per month	\$1,200 per month	
Climate condition Cool temperate		Hot humid summer, warm winter	Mild temperate	

I would prefer to relocate to	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
this city:	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

Example scenario from the stated preference experiment

#	Attribute	Range of values
1	Distance to coast	on the coast; 37.5 km, 75km, 200km, 600km
2	Population size: mid-sized cities	5k; 10k; 25k; 50k; 75k; 100k
2	Population size: large cities	250k; 500k; 750k; 1m; 1.5m; 2m; 2.5m; 5m
3	Average annual income per person	\$55k, \$60k, \$65k, \$70k, \$75k, \$85k, \$90k, \$100k
4	Unemployment rate	3%, 5%, 7%, 9%, 11%, 13%, 15%
5	Urban centre classification	industry city; service city; connected city; coastal lifestyle city; mixed function centre; agricultural city
6	Average home sales value	\$240k, \$400k, \$560k, \$650k, \$720k, \$880k, \$1.04m, \$1.2m
7	Average daily commute time (minutes)	20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90
8	Average monthly cost of living for single person (excluding rent)	\$1k; \$1.1k; \$1.2k; \$1.3k; \$1.4k; \$1.5k
9	Climate classification	hot humid summer, warm winter; warm humid summer, mild winter; hot dry summer, warm winter; hot dry summer, cool winter; warm temperate; mild temperate; cool temperate; alpine

Range of attribute values used in our SP experiments to describe each urban area across different scenarios

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
	Metropolitan enthusiasts			Regional enthusiasts
Share of sample population	16 per cent	21 per cent	54 per cent	9 per cent
Preferences for city size	Prefer larger cities	Preference for smaller cities, ceteris paribus	Neutral	Very strong preference for smaller cities
Sensitivity to other city characteristics	Care about wages, distance to coast, and access to urban amenities, insensitive to other attributes	Care about unemployment rates, insensitive to other attributes	In descending order of importance, sensitive to wages, living costs, housing costs, commute times, unemployment, and distance to coast	Insensitive to any other city characteristics
Settlement and migration history	Highly likely to have always lived in large cities, and reluctant to leave large cities	Highly likely to be currently living in large cities, but have lived in mid-sized cities in the past, and open to moving to one again	Proportionally split between large and mid-sized city residents	Most likely to be currently living in a mid-sized city, or have lived in one in the past
Attitudes towards large and mid-sized cities	-	Do not view large cities as good places to live, would move to smaller cities if offered support for home ownership	View mid-sized cities as good places to retire, would move to smaller cities if offered support for post-retirement living	-
Demographic characteristics	More likely to be younger, higher-income professionals that are single or part of a couple, and with no children	More likely to be a mix of young individuals living in single or shared households, and middle- aged individuals living in households with children. Tend to be university-educated and employed full-time in high-wage managerial or professional jobs in white-collar sectors.	More likely to be older, lower- income individuals without a college degree that are employed part-time or retired	More likely to be older, lower- income individuals without a college degree that are employed part-time or retired

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
	Metropolitan enthusiasts			Regional enthusiasts
Share of sample population	16 per cent	21 per cent	54 per cent	9 per cent
Preferences for city size	Prefer larger cities	Preference for smaller cities, ceteris paribus	Neutral	Very strong preference for smaller cities
Sensitivity to other city characteristics	Care about wages, distance to coast, and access to urban amenities, insensitive to other attributes	Care about unemployment rates, insensitive to other attributes	In descending order of importance, sensitive to wages, living costs, housing costs, commute times, unemployment, and distance to coast	Insensitive to any other city characteristics
Settlement and migration history	Highly likely to have always lived in large cities, and reluctant to leave large cities	Highly likely to be currently living in large cities, but have lived in mid-sized cities in the past, and open to moving to one again	Proportionally split between large and mid-sized city residents	Most likely to be currently living in a mid-sized city, or have lived in one in the past
Attitudes towards large and mid-sized cities	-	Do not view large cities as good places to live, would move to smaller cities if offered support for home ownership	View mid-sized cities as good places to retire, would move to smaller cities if offered support for post-retirement living	-
Demographic characteristics	More likely to be younger, higher-income professionals that are single or part of a couple, and with no children	More likely to be a mix of young individuals living in single or shared households, and middle- aged individuals living in households with children. Tend to be university-educated and employed full-time in high-wage managerial or professional jobs in white-collar sectors.	More likely to be older, lower- income individuals without a college degree that are employed part-time or retired	More likely to be older, lower- income individuals without a college degree that are employed part-time or retired

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
	Metropolitan enthusiasts			Regional enthusiasts
Share of sample population	16 per cent	21 per cent	54 per cent	9 per cent
Preferences for city size	Prefer larger cities	Preference for smaller cities, ceteris paribus	Neutral	Very strong preference for smaller cities
Sensitivity to other city characteristics	Care about wages, distance to coast, and access to urban amenities, insensitive to other attributes	Care about unemployment rates, insensitive to other attributes	In descending order of importance, sensitive to wages, living costs, housing costs, commute times, unemployment, and distance to coast	Insensitive to any other city characteristics
Settlement and migration history	Highly likely to have always lived in large cities, and reluctant to leave large cities	Highly likely to be currently living in large cities, but have lived in mid-sized cities in the past, and open to moving to one again	Proportionally split between large and mid-sized city residents	Most likely to be currently living in a mid-sized city, or have lived in one in the past
Attitudes towards large and mid-sized cities	-	Do not view large cities as good places to live, would move to smaller cities if offered support for home ownership	View mid-sized cities as good places to retire, would move to smaller cities if offered support for post-retirement living	-
Demographic characteristics	More likely to be younger, higher-income professionals that are single or part of a couple, and with no children	More likely to be a mix of young individuals living in single or shared households, and middle- aged individuals living in households with children. Tend to be university-educated and employed full-time in high-wage managerial or professional jobs in white-collar sectors.	More likely to be older, lower- income individuals without a college degree that are employed part-time or retired	More likely to be older, lower- income individuals without a college degree that are employed part-time or retired



Strong lifecycle effects

- Young adults are most likely to move due to education opportunities
- **Middle aged adults** are most likely to move due to employment opportunities
- Older adults are most likely to seek
 places that can support post retirement living

Policy development options

- 1. Develop higher education institutions in regional centres: On average, mid-sized urban areas have had net out-migration rates of 30 per cent for young adults over the period 2011-16, and our analysis finds that these individuals place the greatest importance on employment and education opportunities
- 2. Develop local employment opportunities in regional centres: One-in-five Australians is open to moving to a mid-sized city if it could offer comparable employment and education opportunities to large cities
- 3. Develop digital infrastructure in regional centres to support remote working and long-distance learning: Widespread adoption of remote working and long-distance learning arrangements during the COVID-19 pandemic could make employment and education opportunities available in regional centres
- 4. Develop physical infrastructure for post-retirement living in regional centres: One-in-two Australians view mid-sized cities as excellent places to retire, and would be encouraged to move there if they could get support for post retirement living in terms of healthcare, home ownership and access to other amenities.

Part 2

Understanding the lived experience & benefits of regional cities



Research questions & approach

- What are the place-based experiences of residents in regional cities?
- To what degree do residents of smaller cities benefit in terms of housing and employment outcomes?
- \hookrightarrow How do residents feel about the prospect of growth?

 \rightarrow How is Covid-19 impacting regional experiences?

- Focus groups with between 7-14 residents in each city
- Stakeholder interviews with local government, key service providers, major employers etc.









Key benefits of regional city living

Reaffirms past research re importance of lifestyle factors:



Amenity is the 'it factor' – desire for regional lifestyle prompts consideration of whether other factors can be made to stack up



Key disadvantages of regional city living

For those who've moved, lifestyle benefits outweigh these disadvantages:





Nuanced responses to growth

- Most participants open to growth if it doesn't diminish lifestyle benefits
- Concern growth will further strain already scarce services (health, education, social housing)
- Concerns about environmental impacts
- Greater enthusiasm if it isn't already happening (e.g. Whyalla)





Need for place-based planning

While key themes cut across cities, many concerns were localised, e.g.:

- Impacts of administrative complexities for border cities → Albury-Wodonga, Mildura
- Important role as major support hubs for broader regions → Cairns for Cape York, Mildura for Sunraysia
- The loss of support structures (e.g. migrant settlement) formerly provided by major companies
 → Whyalla, Wollongong
- Specific challenges in satellite cities with growth driven by commuters → Wollongong







Final thoughts

- Notable that sense of amenity / 'town feel' existed across case study cities, despite different sizes
- Regional residents need to feel growth is intended to benefit regional areas, not just something that happens *to* them i.e. a solution to metropolitan growth pressures
- Strong strategic planning needed to ensure growth doesn't undermine the amenity benefits which make regional living so appealing





Audience Q&A

Submit questions for presenters



Thank you for attending!





THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING!

Webinar recording available at: https://www.ahuri.edu.au/events/driving-population-growth-regional-cities

Complete our survey: Will be shared by email following webinar

